PARKSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2018-2019



State of the School



My Expectation

Be the best teacher you can be everyday!

The success of our school depends on it.



As a member of the Parkside Pandas team, I commit to...

- Providing bell to bell student engagement using research based instructional strategies that meet the learning <u>Diversity</u> of all students.
- Creating a safe, positive and inviting learning environment from the media center to the cafeteria that shows a your high level of <u>School Pride</u>.
- Building a work place that is respectful to all ideas and opinions and fosters a culture of collaboration, team and <u>Community</u>.



Demographics 570 Students Pre K-5th Grades

- ▶ Pre K 44
- ► Kindergarten 88
- ▶ 1st Grade 80
- 2nd Grade 97
- ► 3rd Grade 90
- ► 4th Grade 93
- ► 5th Grade 78

2017-218 Student Assessment Data

Georgia Milestones - Grades 3-5

STAR - K-2



Choose Aggregate Level Proficient and Above	Year over Year Milestones Comparison: Parkside																
		El	A			M	ath			Scie	ence			Social	Studies		
School Parkside																	
Cluster All																	
Grade All																	
Ethnicity All																	
Gifted Enrollment All																	
All																	
SWD All																	
FAY Status All	27.2%		26.5%	27.7%	28.9%			30.9%									
Gender All		25.2%	20.3 %			21.6%	20.5%		18.1%	18.8%	16.0%	24.7%	22.4%	21.7%	16.0%	18.2%	
			I				I			I				l			
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2015	2016	2017	2018	2015	2016	2017	2018	2015	2016	2017	2018	

All

Achievement Level Breakdown: Parkside

Year	Subject	Grade	e Year	Comparison Group	Tests				
Multiple values	ELA	3	2017	All	72	53%	22%	18%	7%
Comparison Group All			2018	All	93	45%	30%	15%	10%
		4	2017	All	85	54%	25%	199	6
Subject ELA			2018	AII	79	46%	25%	16%	13%
Grade		5	2017	All	81	38%	28%	30%	4%
All			2018	All	77	32%	38%		
Distinguished Learner Proficient Learner									

Developing Learner

Beginning Learner

Percentages align GaDOE's Milestones release. Figures differ from final CCRPI data in that:

- Weights for achievement levels are not applied.

- Figures are based on ALL tested students. This includes FAY and non-FAY.

- Scores have NOT been adjusted for low participation rates.

- Previous years do NOT include retests.



All

Achievement Level Breakdown: Parkside

Year	Subject	Subject Grade Year		Comparison Group	Tests					
Multiple values	Math	3	2017	AII	72	50%	32%		14% 4%	
Comparison Group All			2018	All	93	34%	31%	19%	15%	
Cubicot		4		AII 86 38		38%	40%		21%	
Subject Math			2018	All	79	39%	33%	19	% 9%	
Grade		5	2017	All	81	31%	48%		16% 5%	
All			2018	All	77	38%	32%	25	5% 5%	
Distinguished Learner										

Proficient Learner

Developing Learner

Beginning Learner

Percentages align GaDOE's Milestones release. Figures differ from final CCRPI data in that:

- Weights for achievement levels are not applied.

- Figures are based on ALL tested students. This includes FAY and non-FAY.

- Scores have NOT been adjusted for low participation rates.

- Previous years do NOT include retests.



AII

Achievement Level Breakdown: Parkside

Year	Subject	Grade	Year	Comparison Group	Tests				
Multiple values	Sci	5	2017	AII	81	53%	31%		14%
Comparison Group All			2018	AII	77	40%	35%	16%	9%
Subject Sci									
Grade All									
 Distinguished Learner Proficient Learner Developing Learner Beginning Learner 									
Percentages align GaDOE's Milestones release. Figures differ from final CCRPI data in that:									
 Weights for achievement levels are not applied. Figures are based on ALL tested students. This includes FAY and non-FAY. Scores have NOT been adjusted for low participation rates. Previous years do NOT include retests. 									DI LEUS IV - PRIDE - COM

retests.

AII

Achievement Level Breakdown: Parkside

Year	Subject	Grade	Year	Comparison Group	Tests			
Multiple values	Soc	5	2017	All	81	37%	47%	11% 5%
Comparison Group All			2018	AII	77	36%	45%	12% 6%
Subject Soc								
Grade All								
 Distinguished Learner Proficient Learner Developing Learner Beginning Learner 								
Percentages align GaDOE's Milestones release. Figures differ from final CCRPI data in that:								
 Weights for achievement levels are not applied. Figures are based on ALL tested students. This includes FAY and non-FAY. Scores have NOT been adjusted for low participation rates. Previous years do NOT include 								STATISTIC FLE



EL Achievement Sort Probable Reader

Early Literacy School Comparison

click a school to see their usage & grade level performance if there are above 10 students per grade

Window	7	School	Exams							
Winter 201	./	Jackson Elementary	235	7% 2	3%	25%		46%		
	All	Lin	229	5% 20%		30%		45%		
Cluster	<u> </u>	ERivers	410	10%	26%	21%		43%		
	All	Smith	280	3	0%	32%		35	5%	
Assoc. Sup.	All	Beecher	119	7%	39%		26%		29%	
All		Morningside	217	4%	32%		37%		26%	
School	All	Garden Hills	199	15%	35%		24%		26%	
Grade Multiple values		West Manor	83	16%	47%		12%		25%	
		Dobbs	196	23%	3	30%			24%	
	A.I.	Centennial	218	6%	35%		34%		24%	
Ethnicity	All	Burgess	179	12%	38%		26%		24%	
	All	Tuskegee	306	10%	34%		33%		24%	
Gifted	All	Hope-Hill	155	14%	14% 35%		29%		22%	
SWD		Brandon	184	4%	32%		43%		21%	
SVVD		Parkside	236	12%	44%)	23%		21%	
Multiple values		Toomer	147	7%	46%		2	7%	20%	
ELL	Finch	155	16%	35%		30	0%	19%		
		Springdale	125	4%	36%		42%		18%	
		Perkerson	140	13%	32%		37%		18%	
		FL Stanton	134	25%		40%		19%	17%	
		Fickett	154	16%		45%		25%	15%	
		Continental	146	18%	3	38%		29%	14%	
		Bolton	105	5%	42%		39	9%	14%	
		Cleveland	91	15%	37%			33%	14%	
		Woodson Park	176	13%	41%			31%	14%	
		Hollis	166	14%	439	%		30%	14%	
		Jones	175	23%		35%		28%	14%	
		Peyton Forest	165	19%	3	37%		31%	13%	
		Cascade	139	24%		39%		25%	12%	
		Usher	156	25%		44%		20%	11%	
Achievemer	nt Level	Heritage	96	23%		33%		33%	10%	
Probabl	le Reader	Miles	218	17%	4	0%		33%	10%	
Transiti	ional Reader	Dunbar	70	10%	36%			44%	10%	
Late Em	nergent Reader	Towns	139	19%		45%		27%	9%	
Early En	mergent Reader	Deerwood	167	25%		49%		17	9%	



Achievement Sort Proficient & Above

Exam

PRIDE

Reading

Beginning

Developing



Distinguished

School STAR Comparison

click a school to see their usage & grade level performance if there are above 10 students per grade

Window	All	School	Window	Exams						
		Burgess	Fall 2017	178	25%	32%		30%		12%
Cluster	Jackson		Winter 2017	180	21%	31%	34	4%		14%
	All		Spring 2018	176	23%	26%	32%		1	9%
Assoc. Sup.	All	Parkside	Fall 2017	228	38%		29%	23%		10%
	AU	Winter 20		228	41%		29%	20%	0% 11%	
School	All		Spring 2018	240	39%		28%	21%		12%
		Toomer	Fall 2017	185	31%		45%		19%	5%
Grade	Multiple values Grade		Winter 2017	192	34%		41%		21%	4%
			Spring 2018	179	42%		36%		16%	6%
Ethnicity	All	Dunbar	Fall 2017	180	43%		40%			17%
			Winter 2017	186	44%		33%		22%	
Gifted	All		Spring 2018	47	43%		26%	3	30%	
		Benteen	Fall 2017	133	5	3%	28%	6	19	9%
SWD	All		Winter 2017	138	41%		36%		20%	
			Spring 2018	143	5	2%	31	.%	1	3%
ELL	All	BAMO	Fall 2017	105	43%		41%		15%	
			Winter 2017	111	44%		36%		19	1%
E ELEMENTARY CO			Spring 2018	85		55%	26	5%	149	6 5%

Achievement Sort

Proficient & Above

Exam	Math
Window	AII
Cluster	Jackson

All

Multiple values

All Assoc. Sup.

School

Grade

All Ethnicity All Gifted All SWD

All ELL



Beginning

Developing

Proficient Distinguished

School STAR Comparison

click a school to see their usage & grade level performance if there are above 10 students per grade

School	Window	Exams								
Burgess	Fall 2017	178	17%	4	44%		32%			7%
	Winter 2017	179	16%	35%	%		40%			9%
	Spring 2018	179	21%		40%		28%			11%
Parkside	Fall 2017	230	34%		39%				23%	3%
	Winter 2017	229	32%		38%			24%		7%
	Spring 2018	243	39	9%		33%		20)%	8%
Toomer	Fall 2017	183	26%		51%			20%		
	Winter 2017	190	29%		52%				17%	
	Spring 2018	176	4(0%	41%				15%	4%
Dunbar	Fall 2017	181	31%		52%				17%	
	Winter 2017	188	31%		49%					6
	Spring 2018	22	18%		45%			36	5%	
BAMO	Fall 2017	102	35%	5		49%			1	6%
	Winter 2017	112	35%			44%			21%	
	Spring 2018	77		48%		3	32%		199	%
Benteen	Fall 2017	133	38	%		479	6		1	4%
	Winter 2017	138	4(0%		45	%		14%	
	Spring 2018	144		47%			42%		10%	



Student Attendance Data

Percent of students missing fewer than 10% of days enrolled



Goals for 2018-2019

- To improve the number of students at levels 3 and above on the Georgia Milestones EOG in Reading/ELA, Math, Science and Social Studies.
- To improve the number of students at level 3 and above on the STAR assessment in Reading & Math for students in grades 1-2.
- To reduce the number of students missing more than 10% of days enrolled in school.
- To implement a robust SEL program.
- To continue the process of becoming IB authorized by building units for implementation.



How do we get it DONE! New Strategies

- Departmentalize in grades 3-5 (Reading, ELA/Writing, Math, Sci./S.S.)
- Reading Lab (40 minutes of explicit direct instruction daily for the lowest 25% of students on each grade level)
- Monitoring, assessing, and planning for mastery using student data
- ► K-5 Writing plan
- Inquiry/Project Base Instruction in Science and Social Studies
- SEL teacher/coach
- Blended Gifted Delivery Model
- Schoolwide Behavior Management System



Improving Instruction to Improve Student Outcomes

- Learning Objectives SWBAT IOT posted
- Gradual Release Instructional Model
- Balance of informational & literary Text (ELA)
- Balance Literacy approach
- Use of manipulatives (math)
- Small groups to differentiate and meet the need of your high and low performing students
- Ensuring that the rigor of instruction matches the rigor of the standards

